U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: D2000-061

7 2001

In re: ROSAURA GONZALEZ <u>RUCCI</u>, ATTORNEY

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

MOTION

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT:

Pro se

ON BEHALF OF GENERAL COUNSEL: Charles F. Smith, Associate General Counsel

ON BEHALF OF SERVICE:

Javier Balasquide, Appellate Counsel

ORDER:

PER CURIAM. On February 2, 2001, we entered a final order of discipline against the respondent, suspending her from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the Immigration and Naturalization Service for a period of six months. That period commenced on December 21, 2000.

The respondent's period of suspension has run, and the respondent now moves to be reinstated to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the Service. The respondent asserts that she has been reinstated to practice in Puerto Rico, where she was first disciplined, and has offered evidence to that effect. The Office of General Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review, who initiated these disciplinary proceedings, does not oppose the motion and notes that the respondent appears to meet the definition of attorney as provided in 8 C.F.R. § 1.1(f). The Service has not responded to the motion.

Given that the respondent's period of suspension has run, her motion is unopposed, and there appear to be no adverse factors in her case, we find that the respondent should be and hereby is reinstated to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the Service, as of the date of this order. Because the respondent has been reinstated, public notices regarding the respondent's suspension by the Board should be withdrawn.